## EXHIBIT E

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT Volume I Rule 30 Deposition Pages 1-218 RI SEEKONK HOLDINGS, LLC vs. TIMOTHY P. MCINERNEY, ET AL DEPOSITION OF TIMOTHY P. MCINERNEY, taken in 10 behalf of the plaintiff, pursuant to the applicable 11 provisions of the Massachusetts Rules of Civil 12 Procedure, before Melissa Prodanis, Court Reporter 13 and Notary Public in and for the Commonwealth of 14 15 Massachusetts, at the Offices of GOUDREAU & GROSSI 16 COURT REPORTING SERVICE, INC., 63 Winthrop Street, Taunton, Massachusetts, on Wednesday, February 8, 17 2006, commencing at 9:42 a.m. 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

1	Α	I don't recall particulars just that he had been
2		involved in litigation with the Town for
3		sometime, different lawsuits. Debra Ellison was
4		a lawyer for Kopelman Paige and I don't know if
5		I saw that or someone told me that she worked on
6		a lot of documentation, not documentation per
7		se, but letters that went out with regard to
8		that she was working on the case. So, that's
9		all I really knew.
10	Q	Did you know Ms. Ellison from prior experience
11		in the Town of Salisbury?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	Okay. Did you call her about Mr. Tapalian?
14	A	No.
15	Q	Okay. What was about the fact that she had
16		worked on something that drew your attention?
17	Α	No. I think one of my employees mentioned her
18		as drafting correspondence to Mr. Tapalian
19		relative to one of the previous lawsuits.
20	Q	Which employee are you referring to?
21	A	Jim Tusino.
22	Q	Okay. Leading up to this meeting where the
23		journalists claim to have heard things from the
24		hall leading up to that meeting, did you have

```
1
          any discussions with anyone about Mr. Tapalian?
 2
     Α
          I don't recall.
                           I don't know.
 3
     0
          Okay. At this meeting that we're talking about,
          do you remember the meeting?
     Α
          Yeah.
                 And what do you recall being discussed
     Q
          Okav.
          about Mr. Tapalian at that meeting?
 8
     Α
          I don't recall anything about Mr. Tapalian.
                                                        The
 9
          only pertinent information I had was Jimmy
10
          didn't want to be held responsible for the
11
          damages and he wanted to have his job as a
12
          special police officer reinstated. That was
13
          what Jimmy always pushed me on, always pushed
          the Board on; and that's what I took away from
14
15
          that meeting.
16
     Q
          How would you describe your relationship with
17
          Mr. Tusino?
18
     Α
          Today?
19
     0
          At that time.
20
          He was an employee.
21
     Q
                 You referred to him as "Jimmy". Were you
22
          on a first name basis with him?
23
                I think Jim was the -- if we were in
     A
          Jim.
24
          public session, it was always DPW Director or
```

1		Mr. Tusino but in person it was Jim, yeah. I
2		don't think Jimmy was the word but Jim.
3	Q	And what did Mr. Tusino tell you about
4		Mr. Tapalian?
5	A	Well, actually, he didn't tell me about him. He
6		told me what he says he was accused of and what
7		he said he didn't do and lamented about it quite
8		often only as it relates to his job as a special
9		police officer because he always wanted to be
10		reinstated as a special cop. That's what he
11	:	always thought it was inappropriate for him to
12		be removed based on this allegation and
13		subsequent appeal and allegation and loss and
14		that sort of thing.
15	Q	What, if anything, did you do to investigate
16		personally the matters in litigation that were
17		reported to you by Mr. Lehane's office?
18		MR. SILVERFINE: When are we talking?
19		MR. REILLY: I'm sorry?
20		MR. SILVERFINE: When?
21	Q	Mr. Lehane's office, this initial report that
22		you got, that's what I'm talking about.
23	A	I didn't do anything. There was nothing for me
24		to do.

1.	Q	All right. Did you try to assess in anyway the
2		merits of any of these cases?
3	A	No.
4	Q	All right. In other words, was there more than
5		just one case on this list as you recall it?
6	Α	There were past ones and agreements with the
7		Town regarding a roadway. I don't know.
8	Q	Let me rephrase that. Were there reports given
9		to you concerning other persons other than
10		Mr. Tapalian who were involved in litigation
11		with the council or was he the only person on
12		this list?
13	A	No. I think the Town's been involved with other
14		litigants.
15	Q	All right. And with reference to that first
16		list that you got, did you make any effort to
17		make an independent determination as to the
18		merits of any of these pending cases that were
19		called to your attention?
20	A	No.
21	Q	Why not?
22	A	No reason. I can't answer it. Why would I?
23	Q	Let me ask you this: Was it of any concern to
24		you whether the Town's position was justified in

did you ever attempt to determine whether any case that involved the Town in litigation or any officials of the Town to determine the merits of any of these cases, did you ever do that? Α No. Q Did you ever as Town Administrator attempt to determine whether it was more financially 8 expedient for the Town to settle a claim as 9 opposed to litigating a claim? 10 MR. SILVERFINE: Objection as to form. 11 Α Again, the insurance company's got to make that 12 determination. I think they have the first, I 13 think in some of the clauses, they have first 14 right of refusal or first notice to let the Town make the determination if they want to settle 15 16 the case. There were cases, I think that you said, that 17 Q 18 don't involve insurance. I'm including those in 19 my question. 20 I don't know. I don't know. Α 21 0 You can't recall any? 22 Α No, not that we weren't covered with insurance 23 for, to settle wouldn't be, at least when I was 24 there, there wasn't an opportunity.

wasn't an option to do so. But, again, we would rely on legal counsel to advise us, cut your 2 3 losses, pay it. If we're wrong, pay it; if you 4 were right, the cost is going to be so much more 5 to defend. We rely on counsel for that input. 6 All right. Now, with reference to the executive Q 7 session in question, you did not hear any -- you 8 don't recall anything being said about the Town 9 -- about it being appropriate for the Town to 10 try to consider future options of any type with 11 Mr. Tapalian? 12 MR. SILVERFINE: Objection as to the form. 13 Α I don't recall. I don't recall. No. Do you recall the reporters indicating that they 14 0 could plainly hear the discussion from the 15 16 hallway? 17 I remember that they had reported on, you Α 18 know, what they thought they heard. 19 And wasn't the location of the executive session Q 20 meetings changed after that news report came 21 out? 22 Α Yes. Yes. Out of concern for the fact that someone might 23 24 be able to hear something in the hall?

1		minutes at the time?
2	A	Cindy Dacanay.
3	Q	And Ms. Dacanay had what position?
4	A	She was the, I don't know the exact title,
5		Administrative Secretary to the Board, I want to
6		say.
7	Q	And did you during your time as Town
8		Administrator approach Ms. Dacanay concerning
9		executive session tapes?
10	A	No.
11	Q	Did you ever ask her to take the tapes of the
12		executive sessions into your own custody?
13	A	Um, tapes, no.
14	Q	Did Ms. Dacanay record the executive sessions
15		using a tape recorder?
16	Α	The reason why I pause is because there was,
17		when I got there, there was some controversy
18		about whether it was going to be taped or
19		whether it was going to be handwritten votes as
20		the Board went through just as a general
21		operating procedure. I think when we started,
22		they weren't taped and then for ease for her to
23		be able to transcribe, they were allowed to be
24		taped. So, I'm not sure if she taped that

meeting or not. 2 Q I'm not confining myself to that meeting. Α No, but she did tape other meetings. 0 During the time that you were Town Administrator, Ms. Dacanay did tape some executive sessions? Α Yes. 8 Okay, number one. Number two, during the time Q 9 that you were Town Administrator, she may have 10 missed an executive session here or there 11 because of vacation or other personal reasons? 12 Possible. Α 13 0 Okay. And when she was not present, there was 1.4 no other person taking notes of the meeting, 15 correct? The way the Board structure is, you'll have the 16 Α 17 Chair. You'll have the Vice Chair and you'll 18 have the secretary and the secretary, you know, 19 whoever's not a member, it turns out to be the 20 secretary. I don't know who the secretary would have been but they would be responsible under 21 22 the, you know, the protocol of the Board or the 23 policy of the Board to take, record the meeting 24 minutes.

ſ		
1	Q	Well, just suggesting to you that Ms. Dacanay
2		was the secretary during this period of time
3	A	She was to the Board but within the Board
4		itself.
5	Q	All right. If she was ill or not there, her
6		tape recorder, the session would be tape
7		recorded for her to?
8	A	I don't think so.
9	Q	You don't?
10	A	I don't think so.
11	Q	Do you think that someone else came in to take
12		minutes?
13	A	I think that the secretary who was a member of
14		the Board would have kept notes and recorded the
15		votes.
16	Q	Okay. And who do you recall having do you
17		recall any of the selectmen during the time that
18		you were there having meetings recorded and
19		then transcribed notes of executive sessions?
20	A	I don't know who was. No. I know that
21		Ms. Taylor took copious notes just in every
22		executive session but that's, I don't know, if
23		they were ever transcribed.
24	Q	In any event, Ms. Dacanay did use tape

1	Α	I do.
2	Q	Okay. That's kind of similar to your statement
3		about to avoid lawsuits they would be compelled
4		to allow Mr. Tapalian to do whatever he wanted,
5		isn't it?
6	A	I don't think so.
7	Q	Well, in any event, let's go to the last
8		paragraph on the page. You said you would make
9		the appropriate inquiries into that course of
10		action. Did I read that correct?
11	A	That's correct.
12	Q	Okay. And that course of action was to look
13		into whether or not there was a way to suspend
14		the review of further plans for Mr. Tapalian,
15		wasn't it?
16	Α	Whether or not it was an appropriate course of
17		action is what I would have determined or I
18		would have asked counsel to determine for us.
19		Is there a way to hold these plans in advance
20		until we do this?
21	Q	You wanted to stop Mr. Tapalian at this point in
22		time, didn't you?
23	A	No.
24	Q	You wanted to find out whether there was any

1	L Q	And that message was received before this letter
2	2	from Mr. Tapalian that we see in Exhibit 12?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	All right. So, isn't it true that you got
5	5	messages from Mr. Tapalian and you didn't answer
6		them because of first of all why didn't
7	7	you respond to any of the three telephone
8		messages?
9		MR. SILVERFINE: Objection as to form.
10	A	The one I didn't respond to, the voice mail, I
11	:	responded to in writing. That was my response
12		to his voice mail. And then obviously
13		previously discussed what town counsel and the
14		Board of Selectmen relative to returning his
15		calls, you know, what do we do with people we're
16		in litigation with. And it was fairly clear to
17		me that you can do two things, have him into a
18		public session and have him make his
19		presentation as to what he's looking to do and
20		quite honestly I was not comfortable knowing the
21		history of lawsuits for the Town having in my
22		office closed doors outside conversation with
23		Mr. Tapalian just for the simple fact with the
24		past litigation that's gone on with the

1	A	I don't know.
2	Q	Were there any other people in town who had
3		litigation pending that you did speak with
4		during your entire time as Town Administrator?
5	A	Not that I recall.
6	Q	Are you aware of the were you aware when you
7		were Town Administrator of the right of
8		people to petition their government?
9	A	Yeah.
10	Q	To talk to government officials?
11	A	Yeah.
12	Q ·	Did you have a written opinion from legal
13		counsel concerning the appropriateness of not
14		speaking to someone because of the fact that
15		they had past or present litigation involving
16		the Town?
17	A	I don't know about impending. All I have those
18		meeting minutes with Mike Lehane that said
19		response was appropriate at least with the
20		Beverly Sweet case. So, I don't know if we
21		asked for an opinion or not. I would guess not
22		but I don't know.
23	Q	Is that because you didn't believe that Charlie
24		Tapalian was correct in the lawsuit in Boston?

did you exercise during your time? 2 Α In terms of making policy, I was more of, in my role, just as an executive to carry out those administrative policies. I would promulgate rules and regulations or administrative policies, give them to the Board for administration and ratification. And once they 8 were executed, we would, you know, go down the 9 path of taking those things on. If it was inclement weather policy, you had to close town 10 11 hall and what the parameters are, those are the 12 things we brought to the Board, got their 13 support on, got them executed. Those are the 14 policies that I would execute on a day-to-day 15 basis. 16 Weren't there daily issues from time to time 0 17 that you would just deal with that didn't 18 perhaps require a policy? 19 Α Oh, I'm sure, yeah. 20 With reference to the policy that you issued Q 21 wherein Department Heads were not to speak with 22 anyone involved in litigation with the Town of 23 Seekonk, was that -- that was a policy that you 24 enacted prior to a Board meeting; is that

24

Q

Did any of the Department Heads object about the